

Provided for non-commercial research and education use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article was published in an Elsevier journal. The attached copy is furnished to the author for non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the author's institution, sharing with colleagues and providing to institution administration.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



## Editorial

## Humanoid technologies: “Know-how”

The realization of a humanoid robot hinges upon a great deal of technical “know-how”; the impact on progress highly depends on such “know-how”. This idea inspired a workshop on Humanoid Technologies, held at the IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots, Genova, Italy, December, 2006.<sup>1</sup> The workshop drew together research groups in the area of humanoid robotics to examine the past and recent advancements in humanoid technologies, and to propose ways to fast track towards a better future in the development of “humanoid technologies” in advancing at large the research field of Humanoid Robotics. This Special Issue on “Humanoid Technologies: know-how” serves as a follow on to the Workshop, with half of the papers being invited, as well as the inclusion of papers from an open public call for papers.

Historically, with a few notable exceptions, experimental robotics was very often based on industrial robots (the good old PUMA family for instance), modified to be interfaced to standard computers and completed by commercially available sensors integrated through a plethora of different interfaces. The controller was often centralized. The approach has changed now: it is common to find teams developing robots where the mechanics, the controller and the sensors are integrated into a complete mechatronic design. The problem at large is now how to make these technologies effective and to find ways to collate the effort of the individual into a coherent and harmonious technology that can be shared and reused, transferred, and improved at a faster pace.

Reliability is now an issue; experiments last longer than the typical 10 s benchmark demonstration which was customary in the past. In this new scenario, the old problem of interfacing and integrating different subsystems becomes even more significant. From the sensing and control point of view the paradigm of a centralized computer is not sufficient and, in fact, the emerging approach is based on the development of distributed sensors and control networks. System design, in this context, the development, maintenance, and upgrading of such robotic platforms, requires tools and perhaps new methodologies.

A great deal of effort and investments has been underway over the past decade with a great number of humanoid robots

projects: noticeably, Honda P2-P3, ASIMO [4]; MIT COG [1]; Tokyo University H-Series [7]; AIST HRP [3]; ETL-Humanoid [6]; SARCOS DB; DIST Babybot [5]; iCub [10]; KAIST KHR series [8]; humanoid robots of Waseda University [9]; CB [2] are among them. These developments can be said to have a great deal in common in their labour in the construction of systems that encompass the integration of sophisticated components from multi-layered software architectures to intricate mechatronics subsystems.

Our motivations for the Workshop and in preparing this Special Issue are to highlight some of the issues being addressed by researchers in building their systems. As to discover what common “humanoid technologies” can be extracted, in an eventual hope to provide a common and sharable environment, putting forward a framework to make easier the development of humanoid robots.

**Related issues considered**

As our wish was to foster various aspects of related issues, some of the main thoughts being promoted during the workshop were:

- How to exploit distributed and parallel structures for sensing and control.
- How to develop new algorithms and protocols.
- How to integrate heterogeneous components flexibly and with an eye at scalability.
- Whether there is a need for specialized hardware and software (networks and protocols).
- Whether we require programmable vs. hardware devices, industrial state-of-the-art vs. custom chips.
- Whether there is any technological breakthrough along the way: e.g. self-reconfiguring connections.

**Contributions**

The contributing authors of this Special Issue took some of the above issues seriously within their papers.

The paper of Bäuml et al. endorses the concept of “agile Robot Development” (aRD); their concept advocates the significance of hard real-time when building complex robotic systems with strong mechatronic elements.

<sup>1</sup> [http://eris.liralab.it/wiki/Workshop\\_humanoids06](http://eris.liralab.it/wiki/Workshop_humanoids06).

The software infrastructure of any humanoid systems depends greatly on the success and integration of the system as well as support for continuing research; the paper of Ceravola et al. presents their approach in dealing with these issues.

Placing an emphasis on the important significance of an architecture that can support intrinsically “incompatible architectures and frameworks” for the interfacing of sensors, processors and actuators, Fitzpatrick et al. present their experiences in the software architecture YARP for the iCub humanoid robot in dealing with these issues.

The work of Hosoda et al. presents empirical studies of multi-modal dynamic locomotion for their biped robot powered by antagonistic pneumatic actuators — jumping, walking and running was achieved by their robot.

In the paper presented by Asfour et al., they put toward a comprehensive humanoid architecture that was developed for applications in human-centred environments — in particular toward humanoid manipulation. They demonstrate aspects of control, planning, vision as well as localization in a single humanoid system.

The paper of Kondo et al. investigates issues involved in “In-Hand Manipulation” and multi-fingered robot hand control. They were able to perform object recognition utilizing a teaching system for their dextrous robotics hand.

As has been shown, the realization of complete humanoid systems can be a challenging undertaking. In this issue we are fortunate to have the inclusions of two such projects. Sugihara et al. present in the paper their high performance miniature anthropomorphic robots. Park et al. present the control hardware integration of the latest humanoid robot from the KAIST HUBO team; in this latest version they incorporated an android head with 31 DOFs, creating a humanoid system with 66 DOFs.

### External interests in humanoid technologies

Recently, humanoid robots/technologies as research tools have attracted considerable attentions. Interests from Neuroscientists, Cognitive Scientists alike, with the foresight that these sophisticated systems can act as tools for the validation and evaluations of their fundamental hypotheses. In the light of all the technological investments into the development of humanoid robots, we urge and endorse this direction of interdisciplinary entrepreneurship, in the hope of further advancements of innovation in robotics, as well as enhancing our scientific understanding as a whole.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thanks all participants at the Workshop and all contributing authors of this Special Issue. We also wish to express our gratitude to the Editors-in-Chief and the publishing staff of Elsevier for their help and patience in making this publication possible. G.C. would like to thank Ms. Kazuko Davis for her help with the handling of papers and their reviews.

### References

- [1] Rodney A. Brooks, Cynthia Breazeal, Matthew Marjanović, Brian Scassellati, Matthew M. Williamson, The Cog project: Building a humanoid robot, in: IARP First International Workshop on Humanoid and Human Friendly Robotics, Tsukuba, Japan, 26–27 October 1998, pp. 1–1.
- [2] Gordon Cheng, Sang-ho Hyon, Jun Morimoto, Ales Ude, Joshua G. Hale, Glenn Colvin, Wayco Scroggin, Stephen C. Jacobsen, CB: A humanoid research platform for exploring neuroscience, *Advanced Robotics* 21 (10) (2007) 1097–1114.
- [3] H. Hirukawa, F. Kanehiro, K. Kaneko, S. Kajita, K. Fujiwara, Y. Kawai, F. Tomita, S. Hirai, K. Tanie, T. Isozumi, K. Akachi, T. Kawasaki, S. Ota, K. Yokoyama, H. Handa, Y. Fukase, J. Maeda, Y. Nakamura, S. Tachi, H. Inoue, Humanoid robotics platforms developed in HRP, *Robotics and Autonomous Systems* 48 (4) (2004) 165–175.
- [4] Kazuo Hirai, Masato Hirose, Yuji Haikawa, Toru Takenaka, The development of Honda humanoid robot, in: Proceedings of the 1998 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Leuven, Belgium, May 1998, pp. 1321–1326.
- [5] Giorgio Metta, Francesco Panerai, Giulio Sandini, Babybot: A biologically inspired developing robotic agent, in: AAAI Fall Symposium, Cape Cod (USA), October 2000. AAAI.
- [6] Akihiko Nagakubo, Yasuo Kuniyoshi, Gordon Cheng, Development of a high-performance upper-body humanoid system, *Advanced Robotics* 17 (2) (2003) 149–164.
- [7] Koichi Nishiwaki, Tomomichi Sugihara, Satoshi Kagami, Fumio Kanehiro, Masayuki Inaba, Hirochika Inoue, Design and development of research platform for perception–action integration in humanoid robot: H6, in: Proceedings of IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems IROS’00, Takamatsu, Japan, October 30–November 5 2000, pp. 1559–1564.
- [8] Ill-Woo Park, Jung-Yup Kim, Seo-Wook Park, Jun-Ho Oh, Development of humanoid robot platform KHR-2 (KAIST humanoid robot 2), *International Journal of Humanoid Robots* 2 (4) (2005) 519–536.
- [9] S. Hashimoto, et al., Humanoid Robots in Waseda University – Hadaly-2 and WABIAN, in: IARP First International Workshop on Humanoid and Human Friendly Robotics, Tsukuba, Japan, 26–27 October 1998, pp. 1–2.
- [10] Nicolas Tsagarakis, Giorgio Metta, Giulio Sandini, David Vernon, Ricardo Beira, Francesco Becchi, Ludovic Righetti, Jose Santos-Victor, Mariachiara Carrozza, Darwin Caldwell, Icube: The design and realization of an open humanoid platform for cognitive and neuroscience research, *Advanced Robotics* 21 (7) (2007) 1151–1175.

Guest editors

Gordon Cheng\*

Department of Humanoid Robotics and  
Computational Neuroscience,  
ATR Computational Neuroscience Laboratories,  
National Institute of Information and  
Communications Technology (NiCT),  
Kyoto,  
Japan  
ICORP Computational Brain Project,  
Japan Science and Technology Agency,  
Saitama,  
Japan  
E-mail address: gordon@atr.jp.

Giorgio Metta  
*Department of Communication,  
Computer and Systems Science (DIST),  
University of Genova,  
Italian Institute of Technology,  
Genova,  
Italy*  
*E-mail address:* [pasa@liralab.it](mailto:pasa@liralab.it).

Giorgio Cannata  
*Department of Communication,  
Computer and Systems Science (DIST),  
University of Genova,  
Genova,  
Italy*  
*E-mail address:* [cannata@dist.unige.it](mailto:cannata@dist.unige.it).

Giulio Sandini  
*Department of Communication,  
Computer and Systems Science (DIST),  
University of Genova,  
Italian Institute of Technology,  
Genova,  
Italy*  
*E-mail address:* [sandini@unige.it](mailto:sandini@unige.it).

\* Corresponding editor at: Department of Humanoid Robotics and Computational Neuroscience, ATR Computational Neuroscience Laboratories, National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NiCT), Kyoto, Japan.